## Expected Performances of the JEM-EUSO Mission

Mario Bertaina<sup>1,\*</sup>, Pavol Bobik<sup>2</sup>, Francesco Fenu<sup>3,4</sup>, Francesco Garino<sup>1,†</sup>, Kazuhiro Higashide<sup>5</sup>, Gustavo Medina Tanco<sup>6</sup>, Thomas Mernik<sup>3</sup>, Guadalupe Saéz Cáno<sup>7</sup>, Andrea Santangelo<sup>3,4</sup> and Kenji Shinozaki<sup>4,7</sup> on behalf of JEM-EUSO Collaboration<sup>‡</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of General Physics, University of Torino, Turin, Italy, Institute for Experimental Physics, Slovak Academy of Science, Kosice, Slovakia. <sup>3</sup>Institut f
ür Astronomie und Astrophysik, Eberhard Karls Universit
ät T
übingen, T
übingen, Germany.

<sup>4</sup>RIKEN Advanced Science Institute, Wako, Japan <sup>5</sup>Department of Physics, Saitama University, Saitama, Japan  $^{6}$ Universitat Natcional Autonoma Mexico, Mexico City, Mexico <sup>7</sup>SPAS Group, Universidad de Alcala, Alcala de Henares, Spain

\* Presenter:Email: bertaina@to.infn.it † Now at Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, Karlsruhe, Germany ‡ Website: http://jemeuso.riken.jp

Abstract: JEM-EUSO (Extreme Universe Space Observatory on Japanese Experimental Module) is the new type space-based observatory to explore the extreme-energy-region Universe in particle channel by means of fluorescence technique. In the present work, we present the estimated aperture of the JEM-EUSO telescope in observing extreme energy cosmic rays for various condit

JEM-EUSO (Extreme Universe Space Observatory onboard Japanese Experiment Module, Ebisuzaki et al. 2012) is the new type space-based observatory for extreme energy cosmic ray (EECRs ;  $\textit{E}_{0}$   $\gtrsim$  several  $10^{19}$ eV) observation. The JEM-EUSO telescope will be accommodated on the International Space Station.



Observing from an  $\sim$  400 km altitude, substantial nubmers of photons from the EECR-initated extensive air showers (EASs) are detected in UV band ( $\lambda=$  300–400 nm) by the JEM-EUSO telescope of a  $4.5m^2$  aperture Thanks to an  $\sim$ 60°-wide FOV, the JEM-EUSO is capable of measuring the full portion of EAS development especially for large zenith angles  $\theta$ . The detected singals are characterised by directly arriving fluorescence photons and by Cherenkov light scattered or reflected from the Earth's albedo. Extremely low fluxes of EECRs (few  $\rm km^{-2}$ per millennium!) essentially requrie the huge exposure that

is primarily determined by the aperture (geometrical and instrumental as of trigger probability) and background (BG) and atmospheric conditions.



The geometrical aperture, when the telescope points to nardir, is product of solid angle acceptance  $\pi$  sr and observation area of  $\sim 1.4 \times 10^5 \text{ km}^2$  as is comparable of a part of Italy. The BG conditions limits the observation time and effective aperture. The predominant BG source is night glow that has an intensity of  $\sim$ 500 photons m<sup>-2</sup> sr<sup>-</sup> order (Garipov et al. 2005). Unlike groundns based observatories, the only back-scattered moonlight contributes to BG and therefore the observation time may be enhanced by accpeting the Moon presence. With a threshold 1500 photons  $m^{-2}\ ns^{-1}\ sr^{-1},$  the observational duty cycle yields to 21%.

The locally affect BG sources include light pollution by the man-made light. The effect of such artifical light was evaluated using satellite data measured by DMSP which is also demonstrated in the previous FOV iamge. It was concluded that only  $\sim 9\%$  area exceeds the same threshold level (Adams, Jr et al. in preparation).

In the condition of nominal BG level with no Moon. the trigger aperture was evaluated by the Monte Carlo simulation based on ESAF (Euso Simulation and Analysis The ESAF Framework) code (Berat et al. 2010). which was originally developed for EAS-driven EUSO mission has been implemented with the baseline JEM-EUSO configuration and trigger algorithms (Catalano et al 2009, Kajino et al 2011 and Fenu 2011 et al.).

The following maps show the trigger probability as a function of core location with different cuts in  $E_0$  or/and in  $\theta$  (only quadrant of FOV projected on Earth surface is shown since the detector response is symmetric). Inner part of FOV allows higher efficiency due to better optical response and closer distance.



Applying tight cuts in  $\theta$  >60°, ie 1/4 of solid angle acceptance, select EASs with more intense signals. Those EASs landing within 150 km from the FOV centre provide  $\sim$  100% trigger probability even at  $\sim$  4imes10 $^{19}$ eV Releasing such cuts, the probability increases with  $E_0$  and reach  $\sim 100\%$  around  $10^{20}$  eV.



In the orbit the JEM-EUSO telescope inevitably observes the region with clods. The cloud impact compared to ground-based observation is less thanks to the fact that EAS development may reach its maximum above the typical cloud altitudes. Observed shower profiles in cloudy conditions may be attenuated by the presence of optically thin clouds (eg. cirri). In case of optically thick clouds (eg. strati), the photons emitted below cloud altitude are blocked, while intense reflection of Cherenkov light helps better indenty core location.

To investigate the cloud impact more quantitatively, the cloud occurances within the JEM-EUSO orbit were investigated using TOVS database in the matrix of cloud-top altitude  $H_{\mathsf{C}}$  vs optical depth  $\tau_{\mathsf{C}}$ 

Optical	Cloud-top altitude $H_{\rm C}$ [km]							
depth $ au_{ m C}$	<3.2	3.2-6.5	6.5–10	>10				
>2	16%	5.9%	8.6%	5.0%				
1-2	6.0%	3.0%	4.2%	2.5%				
0.1-1	6.5%	2.0%	3.2%	5.0%				
<0.1	31%	< 0.1%	< 0.1%	1.2%				

EASF simulation was made taking the cloud

occurrence and resulted trigger aperture was compared



By selecting EAS with maximum above  $H_{\rm C}$  or optically thin clouds  $\tau_{\rm C}$ , the ratio stays constant with energy and is  $\sim$  70%. Taking into account all factors and is  $\sim$  70%. previously argued, the expected expsosure per year was estimated for different geometrical cuts as a function of energy. With tight geomerical cuts, the constant expsoure allows direct comparions of EECR fluxes with groundbased observatories down to  $(3-4) \times 10^{19}$  eV. Once such comparison is established, full FOV provides about an order higher exposure than Auger ( $\sim 7000 \text{ km}^2$  sr per year; Abraham et al. 2010) at higher energes.

It is also worthy to mention that the JEM-EUSO if capability of observing EECRs at high degree of uniformity over the entire Celestial Sphere.

	-1	-0	ها	0.6	-0.4	-0.2	0	0.2	0.4	0.6	0.8	in8 1
form ity	0.6			-	Ŧ	Ŧ					+	1
in mo	0.4				+	+						
ation fn	0.2				t	+				+		Å.
in devi	0			-	+-	-	$\Rightarrow$	-		-	-	-
Read	-0.2								E	ALL 2 0 > 45	A	
	-0.6			-	+	-	_			9 > 60	р	-
	-20	-00		4	4.				. L.	علي	44	1490

The reconstruction capabilities have been also estimated using the ESAF code. The technique to reconstruct the different shower parameters is extensively discussed in Fenu et al. 2011. At the current status of development of the reconstruction algorithms, proton EASs with  $\theta > 60^\circ$  are reconstructed in clear atmosphere at a typical energy resolution  $\Delta {\it E}/{\it E}$  of  $\sim$  20% (25%) at  $E_0 = 10^{20}$  eV (4×10<sup>19</sup> eV). This result indicates that the reconstruction of events with  $E < 5 \times 10^{19}$  eV is feasible confirming the possibility of overlapping with ground based experiments over a sufficient wide energy range. For the arrival direction analysis, our current results indicate that showers of  $E \sim 7 \times 10^{19}$  eV and  $\theta > 60^{\circ}$  can be reconstructed less than 2.5° (68% CL). Eventually our still preliminary results indicate that the Xmax resolution (  $\Delta~{\it X_{max}}$  ) is better than 70 g cm  $^{-2}$  for  ${\it E} \sim 10^{20}$  eV.

REFERENCES

- JH Adams, Jr et al. in preparation.
  C Berat et al. 2010, Astropart. Phys., 33, 221.
- O Catalano et al. 2009, Proc. of 31st ICRC (Lodz), ID0326.
  T Ebisuzaki et al. 2011, Proc. of 32nd ICRC, ID120.
- DMSP (Defence Meteorological Satellites Program),
- http://www.ngdc.noaa.gov/dmsp/ GK Garipov et al. 2005, Astropart. Phys. 24, 400.
- F Kajino et al. 2011. Proc. of 32nd ICRC. ID1216.
- TOVS (TIROS/N Operational Vertical Sounder)
- http://www.ozonelayer.noaa.gov/action/tovs.htm/

<sup>-</sup>J Abraham et al. 2010, Nucl. Instr. Meth. A613, 29.